

Molecular Mechanisms of Chemoresistance in Oral Cancer

Cheng WANG^{1,2}, Xi Qiang LIU^{1,2}, Jin Song HOU^{1,2}, Jian Ning WANG^{1,2}, Hong Zhang HUANG^{1,2}

Oral cancer is an aggressive disease with the propensity for local recurrence and distal metastasis in the head and neck region. Currently, cisplatin-based chemotherapy or concurrent radiochemotherapy is still the first choice to treat the advanced stage cancers, in particular, the unresectable tumours. Unfortunately, innate and acquired resistance to chemotherapy agent greatly limited its effectiveness and often led to treatment failure in these patients. Hence, it is urgent to clarify the mechanisms underlying the development of chemoresistance in patients with oral cancer. In this article, the current understandings on molecular mechanisms of chemoresistance in oral cancer were reviewed, including drug efflux, apoptosis, DNA damage and repair, epithelial mesenchymal transition, autophagy and miRNA.

Key words: chemoresistance, molecular mechanisms, oral cancer

Chin J Dent Res 2016;19(1):25–33; doi: 10.3290/j.cjdr.a35694

Oral cancer is one of the most common malignancies in the head and neck region, with properties of rapid local invasion and high recurrence rate. Generally, the treatment modalities for oral cancers included surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy and immunotherapy. Based on current treatment guidelines, early stage cancers of oral cavity are treated with surgery or radiotherapy alone while advanced stage cancers are usually treated with a comprehensive, sequential, multi-modality regime, including surgical resection of primary tumours followed by radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy¹. Cisplatin-based concurrent radiochemotherapy is still the gold standard for the treatment of unresectable

tumours. Recently, several new approaches have also been reported in the treatment of oral cancer, including targeted therapy against epidermal growth factor receptor, induction chemotherapy and p53 gene therapy². Unfortunately, the survival rate and prognosis are still not satisfactory in patients with advanced stage oral cancer. To a certain extent, inherent and acquired resistance to chemotherapy agents contributes to treatment failure in these patients. The development of chemoresistance phenotype greatly limits the effectiveness of chemical agents in oral cancer. Therefore, it is urgent to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the development of chemoresistance in patients with oral cancer. In this article, we will review the current knowledge on the molecular mechanisms of chemoresistance in oral cancer.

1 Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Guanghua School and Hospital of Stomatology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, P.R.China.

2 Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Stomatology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, P.R.China.

Corresponding author: Dr Hong Zhang HUANG, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Guanghua School and Hospital of Stomatology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510055, P.R.China. Tel: 86-20-83820121; Fax: 86-20-83822807. Email: huanghongzhang@tom.com

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81272949, 81202136, 81172567, 81372885 and 81572661), Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20120171120068), Pear River S&T Nova Program of Guangzhou (2014J2200045) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (11ykpy47).

Drug transporters and chemotherapy resistance in oral cancer

Multi-drug transporters are the transmembrane proteins which are widely known for their contributions to chemoresistance in cancer. Through promoting the efflux of anti-cancer therapeutic agents from tumour cells and decreasing the intracellular level of drugs, they exert an important role in multi-chemoresistance (MDR). The adenosine triphosphate (ATP) binding cassette (ABC) transporter superfamily is the most well documented multi-drug transporters, which has been classified into

seven subfamilies designated with A to G, based on the sequence and structural homology, such as ABCB1 (also known as MDR1 or P-gp), ABCC1 (also known as MRP1), ABCG2 (also known as BCRP or MXR) and so on^{3,4}.

P-gp, a 170 KDa phosphoglycoprotein, is encoded by the MDR1 gene, which can function as a unidirectional ATP-dependent pump to export drugs from cells. It is normally expressed and plays a physiological role in the renal tube, intestinal epithelium and the placenta trophoblast by excluding metabolic waste, in order to protect the cell from xenobiotics. In cancer cells, the main substrates are origins of plants, including taxanes, alkaloids and podophyllotoxins. Therefore, P-gp positive oral cancer cells may rapidly eliminate paclitaxel and vincristine. The expression of P-gp in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) was firstly detected by Jain et al with flow cytometry, and they showed that expression of P-gp was increased in recurrent OSCC compared to normal mucosa with oral lesions at different stages of tumorigenesis⁵. Similar results were also confirmed by several immunohistochemical studies⁶⁻⁸. Intriguingly, the expression of P-gp could be induced by treatment with therapeutic drugs or radiotherapy in oral cancer cells^{9,10}, and silencing them with inhibitors or siRNA may enhance the sensitivity for treatment^{11,12}. MRP1, a well characterised member of the ABCC subfamily, was firstly reported in 1992 in lung cancer cell lines¹³. Organic anions are the preferable substrates for MRP1, including drugs conjugated to glutathione, glucuronate or sulfate. This protein contributes to MDR for etoposide, antracyclic antibiotics, vinca-alkaloids, stibium and arsenium drugs as well as methotrexate. It has been proven that increased expression of MRP1 was detected in OSCC compared with adjacent non-cancerous epithelium and this correlated with chemoresistance and poor prognosis in OSCC patients¹⁴. Naramoto et al showed that enhanced expression of MRP1 was detected in OSCC cell lines treated with vincristine¹⁵. Similar results were also observed in OSCC cells treated with cisplatin⁹. ABCG2 is another important drug transporter and well known as a marker of side population cells which are enriched with stem cells. Overexpression of ABCG2 was involved in the resistance to mitoxantrone, antracyclic antibiotics (in particular, doxorubicin), methotrexate, camptothecin derivatives (topotecan and SN-38) and indolocarbazole derivatives. In OSCC, it was confirmed that side population cells harboured cancer stem cell properties with a high expression of ABCG2^{16,17}. Further studies showed that these SP cells demonstrated a multi-chemoresistance phenotype for 5-FU¹⁸, carboplatin¹⁹, Bortezomib

and etoposide²⁰. Interestingly, the common molecular characteristic in these SP cells is the increased expression of ABCG2. Recently, Yanamoto et al further demonstrated that local recurrence in OSCC patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy is associated with enhanced expression of ABCG2²¹. Altogether, these findings suggest that multi-drug transporters, including P-gp, MRP1 and ABCG2 may contribute to both inherent and acquired chemoresistance in oral cancer. However, little is known about the regulation of P-gp, MRP1 and ABCG2 involvement in oral cancer.

Dysfunction of apoptosis in the chemoresistance of oral cancer

Increasing evidence suggested that resistance to apoptosis is one of the hallmarks of cancer and leads to treatment failure. It is required that cancer cells are addicted to some anti-apoptotic proteins for survival during the cancer development and progression. Therefore, dysregulation of apoptosis-related genes and pathways are definitely involved in the chemoresistance of cancers. Of these, the Bcl-2 family members, survivin and the p53 pathway are well-documented²²⁻²⁴. The Bcl-2 protein family is comprised of anti-apoptotic proteins (e.g. Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL) and pro-apoptotic proteins (e.g. Bax, Bak and Bad). Increased expression of anti-apoptotic proteins (Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL) and decreased expression of pro-apoptotic proteins (Bax) were demonstrated to contribute to oral cancer development^{25,26}. Not surprisingly, upregulation of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL was found in several drug-resistant OSCC cells^{27,28}; and silence of Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 was able to enhance chemosensitivity of OSCC cancer cells^{29,30}. These data indicated that Bcl-2 family have a pivotal role in chemoresistance and may serve as a potential molecular target in reverse chemoresistance. However, further clinical trials are necessary to validate the effect of targeting the Bcl-2 family to reverse chemoresistance in oral cancer.

Survivin, a member of the inhibitor of the apoptosis protein family, has been identified as one of the most important biomarkers in the determination of chemoresistance. Survivin could block apoptosis to promote cell proliferation and survival through directly binding to caspase, which in turn favour chemoresistance. Enhanced expression of survivin has been observed in OSCC, and may act as a predictor of tumour aggressiveness and poor outcome³¹⁻³³. Further studies indicated that increased expression of survivin may involve the HPV-mediated deregulation during maturation of squamous epithelium through modulation of the apoptotic processes³⁴. Interestingly, the

sublocation of survivin also has a crucial role for the prognosis in OSCC patients. Engels et al demonstrated that nuclear survivin revealed a favourable prognosis while cytoplasmic survivin indicated an unfavourable outcome and the survivin's cytoplasmic localisation was determined by Crm1-mediated nuclear export^{35,36}. Our series studies confirmed that survivin could block caspase-3-dependent apoptosis to enhance cisplatin resistance in OSCC³⁷⁻³⁹. Further studies showed that nicotine could repress cisplatin-induced apoptosis of human oral cancer cells, and depletion of survivin abolished the protective effects⁴⁰. Recently, Sepantronium bromide (YM155), a selective small-molecule survivin-suppressant was developed and demonstrated ideal anti-tumour activity. Kumar et al showed that YM155 could reverse cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer by decreasing cytoplasmic survivin levels *in vitro* and *in vivo*⁴¹. YM155 could also trigger apoptosis in a mitochondrial- and death receptor-dependent manner in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Moreover, YM155 was found to induce autophagic cell death by enhancing Beclin1 expression. These results indicated survivin-targeted gene therapy may have a dual role in cell apoptosis and autophagy⁴².

p53 is the most famous tumour suppressor and the guardian of the genome. Mutation of p53 was frequently observed in most of the human cancers. Mutant p53 correlates with chemoresistance of oral cancer cells due to its inability for inducing apoptosis. *In vivo* studies confirmed that xenografts with p53 mutation showed a significantly higher resistant phenotype to cisplatin⁴³. Similar results were also observed in some clinical trials. Temam et al showed that p53 gene mutations were strongly associated with a risk of response to chemotherapy⁴⁴. Cabelguenne et al demonstrated that the prevalence of p53-mutated tumours was higher in the group of patients with nonresponse to neoadjuvant chemotherapy than in the group of responders⁴⁵. Interestingly, in another prospective randomised clinical trial, Perrone et al reported that the loss of function (transactivation activities) of p53 mutant proteins may predict a significantly low pathological complete remission rate in patients with SCC of oral cavities treated with cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy⁴⁶. More interestingly, Tonigold et al showed that head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines with cytoplasmically sequestered mutant p53 (p53(mut_c)) are frequently more resistant to cisplatin than cells with mutant but nuclear p53 (p53(mut_n)), and revealed that cells with p53(mut_c) were endowed with a stem cell-like phenotype, which was associated with ABCC2 overexpression⁴⁷. These findings indicated that the

biological function of p53 has played a pivotal role in the chemosensitivity of oral cancer. Mutations of gene, function and subcellular localisation of p53 protein (mutated and wild-type) are all involved in the chemoresistance of cancer cells through intriguing mechanisms which regulate apoptosis, cell cycle, stemness and DNA damage. Therefore, a much better understanding of the cellular networks involving p53, associated biomarkers, and their relationship to responses to therapy might eventually overcome chemoresistance and enhance sensitivity to chemotherapy in oral cancer.

DNA damage and repair in the chemoresistance of oral cancer

Currently, chemotherapeutic drugs routinely used in the treatment of oral cancer always function to damage DNA, including cisplatin, carboplatin, oxaliplatin and 5-FU. The enhancement of DNA repair capacity is crucial for cisplatin-based chemotherapy. DNA repair are mainly dependent on mismatch repair (MMR), nucleotide excision repair (NER), base excision repair (BER), homologous recombination (HR), translesion DNA synthesis (TLS) and nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) in normal physical conditions⁴⁸. Of note, the NER system is believed to resolve the majority of DNA lesions provoked by CDDP⁴⁹. It has been reported that alterations of NER-related genes and pathways contributed to cisplatin-resistant phenotypes in oral cancer cells. Amongst these genes, the most important determinant involved in cisplatin resistance is excision repair cross-complimentary group 1 (ERCC1), which is the key components of the NER pathway. The expression of ERCC1 was enhanced in carboplatin-resistant TSCC cell lines compared with its sensitive counterparts⁵⁰. Cisplatin was also suggested to induce increased ERCC1 expression through the regulation of the MAPK signalling⁵¹. Conversely, silencing of ERCC1 was demonstrated to reverse chemoresistance to cisplatin in gastric carcinoma⁵² and ovarian carcinoma⁵³. In clinical trials, high expression of ERCC1 was associated with unfavourable overall survival than patients with low levels in HNSCC patients treated with cisplatin-based therapy⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷. Importantly, the cisplatin response is modulated by more than just ERCC1 expression levels. Polymorphisms have also been shown to be play an important role in chemoresistance⁵⁸. Yang et al also confirmed that the genotypes of XRCC1 rs1799782 and XRCC1 rs2040639 DNA repair genes appeared to be significantly associated with oral carcinogenesis⁵⁹. Interesting, XRCC1 was also involved in EMT-mediated chemoresistance and Snail could directly enhance the expression of XRCC1 at transcrip-

tion level⁶⁰. On the other hand, NHEJ was also involved in chemoresistance in head and neck cancer. Banerjee et al found that TRIP13 was overexpressed in HNSCC and promoted error-prone NHEJ to induce chemoresistance and provided a novel target for overcoming chemoresistance in HNSCC⁶¹. Taken together, NER system has a critical role in platinum-based treatment and may serve as a predictor in whether HNSCC patients respond to platinum-based chemotherapy. However, the translation of these findings into the clinical setting has still not been forthcoming and further studies are necessary to clarify the complex mechanism underlying CDPP resistance of oral cancers.

Epithelial mesenchymal transition in chemoresistance of oral cancer

Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a dynamic biological process by which epithelial cells lose their cell polarity and adhesion to become mesenchymal-like cells with properties of invasive and migratory ability. It has been demonstrated that genetic and epigenetic alterations were involved in EMT. The decreased expression of E-cadherin is one of the most important hallmarks of EMT. The transcriptional factors seem to be the key regulators to drive EMT procedure by targeting CDH1 promoters, such as Snai1, Snai2, Twist1, ZEB1 and ZEB2. Cytokines have also played a key role in EMT which are abundant in the tumour microenvironment, including TGF β , EGF, FGF, IL, SDF-1 and so on. These cytokines could induce EMT via a distinct signal pathway and activate EMT-related transcriptional factors. Presently, increasing evidence suggests that there are intricate links between EMT and chemoresistance in cancer. Studies showed that cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil and EGFR inhibitor-resistant cancer cells were endowed with EMT phenotype. Maseki et al reported that gefitinib-resistant cancer cells demonstrated an EMT phenotype through activation of the Akt/GSK-3 β /snail signalling pathway⁶². Harada et al confirmed that 5-fluorouracil-resistant cancer cells showed epithelial to mesenchymal transition changes in OSCC⁶³. More importantly, Sun et al established stable chemotherapy resistant tongue squamous cell carcinoma (TSCC) cell models with a gradual increase of cisplatin and confirmed that cisplatin-resistant TSCC cells displayed a mesenchymal phenotype compared with their parental cells. Further studies found that reduced expression of miR-200b and miR-15b were involved in chemotherapy induced EMT in TSCC⁶⁴. These findings confirmed that chemotherapy could promote EMT and metastasis in oral cancer, which indicated the residual cancer cells may have a much

more aggressive capability after chemotherapy and promote cancer progression. Emerging evidence also indicated multi-chemoresistance could be as a result of EMT. An increased expression of ABC transporters and enrichment of cancer stem cells mainly contributed to EMT-mediated chemoresistance. Our experiments confirmed that Snai2 and EZH2 could inhibit E-cadherin to promote EMT in OSCC^{65,66}. Intriguingly, Snail could promote EMT and drive erlotinib resistance in oral cancer⁶⁷. In addition, Snail1 could also induce EMT to promote cancer stem cell-like phenotype in head and neck cancer⁶⁸. Mechanically, the activation of ERCC1 by Snail is critical to the generation of cisplatin resistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, which plays a pivotal role in the process of DNA damage and repair⁶⁰. Based on these findings, it is suggested that chemotherapy may induce EMT to promote invasion and metastasis, which in turn lead to chemoresistance in cancer cells. The feedback loops work together to promote malignant progression of oral cancer. A better understanding of the complexities behind this process may offer the opportunity to modify and develop new chemotherapeutic agents which may serve to improve outcomes in oral cancers.

miRNA dyregulation and resistance to chemotherapy in oral cancer

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of endogenous, small, non-coding, single-strand RNA molecules which have been confirmed to be involved in a wide range of biological processes in physiological and pathological conditions. Generally, miRNA is originally from the primary miRNA (pri-miRNA), which is transcribed by RNA polymerase II and processed by Drosha and Dicer to produce mature miRNAs. The mature miRNA was assembled to form the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) together with the RISC-associated proteins, i.e. members of the Argonaute family. This complex was directed to silence gene expression via binding to the 3'UTR of the target mRNAs with imperfect complementarity⁶⁹. Further studies also found that miRNA could regulate targeted gene expression by binding to the 5'UTR and CDS region⁷⁰.

Accumulating studies confirmed that miRNAs played an important role in cancer initiation, progression and chemoresistance. Yu et al firstly reported the differential expression profile in oral cancer using cisplatin-sensitive TSCC cell line (Tca8113) and its cisplatin-resistant subline (Tca/cisplatin). A total of 19 deregulated miRNAs (17 upregulated and two downregulated) were identified in Tca/cisplatin cells compared with Tca8113

cells. Further studies confirmed that silence of miR-213 or miR-23a and the rescue of miR-21 could partially abolish the chemoresistance against cisplatin in Tca/cisplatin cells. These results suggested that miR-21 may serve as a chemosensitive miRNA, while miR-214 and miR-23a serve as chemoresistant miRNAs in TSCC cell lines⁷¹. However, these findings seem to be cell-specific and cannot be validated by other TSCC cells and clinical samples. A recent study showed that miR-23a promotes cisplatin chemoresistance and protects against cisplatin-induced apoptosis in TSCC cells through regulation of the Twist level⁷². In addition, downregulation of miR-100, miR-130a and miR-197 and upregulation of miR-101, miR-181b, miR-181d and miR-195 expression were demonstrated in HNSCC cells with docetaxel-induced multi-chemoresistance⁷³. However, the direct target genes were not identified and validated in these studies mentioned above. To further elucidate the mechanism of miRNAs involved in acquired chemoresistance in oral cancer, an excellent study performed by Sun et al showed that miR-200b and miR-15b were significantly decreased in CDDP-resistant CAL-27 cells. Both miR-200b and miR-15b could reverse cisplatin-induced EMT and enhance chemosensitivity by targeting BMI1 in TSCC64. Our work also found that miR-181 regulated EMT and chemoresistance by targeting Twist1 in CAL27 cells⁷⁴. Similar results were also observed in Let-7d, which may regulate EMT and chemoresistance through the inhibition of Twist and Snail in oral cancer⁷⁵. These findings indicated that dysregulation of miRNAs has a critical role in EMT-mediated chemoresistance in oral cancer.

Moreover, increasing evidence suggested that apoptosis-related miRNAs contributed to chemoresistance. MiR-21, the most famous oncomiRNA, is an independent and poor prognostic factor and functions as an apoptotic inhibitor through the silencing of TPM1 and PTEN⁷⁶. MiR-21 was also involved in the resistance to chemotherapy in oral cancer. Downregulation of miR-21 could sensitise CA-27 cells to cisplatin by increasing cisplatin-induced apoptosis in OSCC⁷⁷. Similar results were also reported by Ren et al who demonstrated that miR-21 could modulate chemosensitivity of TSCC cells to cisplatin by targeting PDCD4⁷⁸. Further experiments confirmed that miR-21 is necessary in Nanog-Stat3 mediated chemoresistance⁷⁹. Furthermore, miRNAs including miR-222⁸⁰, miR-375⁸¹ and miR-29a⁸² were also shown to regulate chemoresistance by targeting apoptosis. Interestingly, a novel mechanism in which mitochondrial fission participated in the regulation of chemoresistance was reported. These studies demonstrated that miR-483-5p could inhibit mitochondrial

fission and cisplatin sensitivity by targeting FIS1⁸³ and BRCA1-miR-593-5p-MFF axis-mediated mitochondrial fission and apoptosis, which also affected cisplatin sensitivity in TSCC⁸⁴.

More recently, emerging evidence also indicated that miRNAs can target stemness in order to regulate chemoresistance in oral cancer. Yang et al showed that let-7a represses chemoresistance by modulating the expression of stemness genes⁸⁵. HA-induced CD44v3 interaction with Oct4-Sox2-Nanog signalling plays a pivotal role in miR-302 production leading to AOF1/AOF2/DNMT1 down-regulation, survival of protein activation and cisplatin resistance in cancer stem cells from HNSCC⁸⁶. These observations suggest that miRNAs mainly contribute to chemoresistance through the regulation of EMT, apoptosis and stemness in oral cancer at post-transcriptional level. Of note, oncogenes and tumour suppressors could also regulate the expression of chemoresistance-related miRNAs directly or indirectly.

Autophagy related chemoresistance in oral cancer

Autophagy is an evolutionary conserved catabolic process where cells self-digest intracellular organelles to regulate normal turnover of organelles and remove damaged organelles with compromised function, in order to further maintain homeostasis. Currently, the role of autophagy in cancer is still controversial. Constitutive autophagy can act as a cellular housekeeper to eliminate damaged organelles and protect cells against carcinogenesis. Autophagy can also function as a pro-survival signal in response to stress such as nutrient deprivation, hypoxia and the presence of chemotherapy or some targeted therapies that might mediate resistance to anti-cancer therapies in advanced cancer. On the other hand, excess or persistent autophagy is shown to promote cell death by enhancing the induction of apoptosis or mediating 'autophagic cell death'. Therefore, autophagy is a double-edged sword in cancer progression. In oral cancer, Wang et al⁸⁷ and Weng et al⁸⁸ demonstrated that a decrease of autophagy activity could promote malignant progression of TSCC, and Beclin1 can serve as a tumour suppressor in TSCC development. Conversely, studies also showed that LC3, ATG9a, Beclin1 and ATG5 overexpression were associated with a poor prognosis in patients with OSCC in a series of studies⁸⁹⁻⁹¹. These findings indicated both a decrease and increase of autophagy activity have a crucial role in OSCC progression and we propose that the roles of autophagy may be dependent on cancer type, stage, genetic background and the tumour microenvironment. Similarly to

its potential to either induce cell death or promote cell survival, emerging evidence implicated that autophagy has a dual role in response to chemotherapy in cancer. Inhibition of autophagy can enhance the chemotherapeutic sensitivity of cisplatin in OSCC⁹², hypopharyngeal carcinoma⁹³, salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma⁹⁴ and oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma⁹⁵. Moreover, DNA-damaging agents including cisplatin, methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil could induce autophagy with a cytoprotective effect^{96,97}. An enhanced expression of Beclin-1, Atg12-Atg5 and LC3-II and autophagosome formation was detected in the methotrexate-resistant SCC-9 cell line compared with the sensitive SCC-9 cell line⁹⁸. Similar findings were observed in laryngeal cancer, in which exposure to cisplatin induced the aggregation of autophagosomes in the cytoplasm and increased expression of Beclin 1 and LC3II, and the induction of autophagy attenuated cytotoxicity of cisplatin treatment⁹⁹. These results implicated that enhancement of autophagy could contribute to chemoresistance in head and neck cancers. Inhibition of autophagy may be a potential target to reverse chemoresistance in cancer treatment. However, it should be noted that autophagic cell death could also be induced in oral cancer cells to promote cell death. Zhang et al found that targeting survivin by YM155 can benefit HNSCC therapy by increasing apoptotic and autophagic cell death, and suppressing the activation of the mTOR signalling pathway⁴². Several therapeutic drugs, such as sulfasalazine¹⁰⁰, thymoquinone¹⁰¹ and tetrandrine¹⁰² were also shown to induce autophagic cell death and demonstrate an anti-cancer effect in oral cancer. These results indicated that induction of autophagic cell death is also an alternative approach to killing tumour cells. Therefore, understanding how to overcome cytoprotective autophagy and harness autophagic cell death is critical to enhance the sensitivity of cancer cells to therapeutic agents.

Conclusion and perspectives

Resistance to chemotherapeutic agents is still a major challenge for the success of cancer chemotherapy. Based on the current understanding, a variety of factors including apoptosis, the cell cycle, drug transportation, stemness, DNA damage and repair, EMT and autophagy contribute to innate and acquired resistance in oral cancer. Several new factors also attracted more and more attention in the chemoresistance of oral cancer, such as metabolic reprogramming, tumour microenvironment (tumour-associated macrophages and cancer-associated fibroblast), lncRNAs, circRNA and ceRNA. Accumulating evidence supported the notion that genetics and

epigenetic alterations were involved in these processes to develop chemoresistance phenotype. However, the exact molecular mechanisms of chemoresistance are still not fully understood. It is necessary to identify the molecular alterations, elucidate the underlying mechanisms and develop personalised agents for the treatment of oral cancer.

References

1. Belcher R, Hayes K, Fedewa S, Chen AY. Current treatment of head and neck squamous cell cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2014;110:551–574.
2. Georges P, Rajagopalan K, Leon C. Chemotherapy advances in locally advanced head and neck cancer. *World J Clin Oncol* 2014;5:966–972.
3. Fletcher JL, Haber M, Henderson MJ, Norris MD. ABC transporters in cancer: more than just drug efflux pumps. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2010;10:147–156.
4. Vtorushin SV, Khristenko KY, Zavyalova MV, et al. The phenomenon of multi-drug resistance in the treatment of malignant tumors. *Exp Oncol* 2014;36:144–156.
5. Jain V, Das SN, Luthra K, Shukla NK, Ralhan R. Differential expression of multidrug resistance gene product, P-glycoprotein, in normal, dysplastic and malignant oral mucosa in India. *Int J Cancer* 1997;74:128–133.
6. Ralhan R, Narayan M, Salotra P, Shukla NK, Chauhan SS. Evaluation of P-glycoprotein expression in human oral oncogenesis: correlation with clinicopathological features. *Int J Cancer* 1997;72:728–734.
7. Lo Muzio L, Staibano S, Pannone G, et al. The human multidrug resistance gene (MDR-1): immunocytochemical detection of its expression in oral SCC. *Anticancer Res* 2000;20:2891–2897.
8. Xie ZJ, Yang XF, Gu ZY, Wu QL. P-glycoprotein expression in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral and maxillofacial region. *Chin J Dent Res* 2000;3:23–26.
9. Nakamura M, Nakatani K, Uzawa K, et al. Establishment and characterization of a cisplatin-resistant oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line, H-1R. *Oncol Rep* 2005;14:1281–1286.
10. Ng IO, Lam KY, Ng M, Kwong DL, Sham JS. Expression of P-glycoprotein, a multidrug-resistance gene product, is induced by radiotherapy in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 1998;83:851–857.
11. Choi AR, Kim JH, Yoon S. Thioridazine specifically sensitizes drug-resistant cancer cells through highly increase in apoptosis and P-gp inhibition. *Tumour Biol* 2014;35:9831–9838.
12. Dong Y, Shao S, Hu J, Yang P. Reversal effect of Raf-1/Mdr-1 siRNAs co-transfection on multidrug resistance in KBv200 cell line. *Oral Oncol* 2009;45:991–997.
13. Cole SP, Bhardwaj G, Gerlach JH, et al. Overexpression of a transporter gene in a multidrug-resistant human lung cancer cell line. *Science* 1992;258:1650–1654.
14. Zhang B, Liu M, Tang HK, et al. The expression and significance of MRP1, LRP, TOPOII β , and BCL2 in tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2012;41:141–148.
15. Naramoto H, Uematsu T, Uchihashi T, et al. Multidrug resistance-associated protein 7 expression is involved in cross-resistance to docetaxel in salivary gland adenocarcinoma cell lines. *Int J Oncol* 2007;30:393–401.
16. Zhang P, Zhang Y, Mao L, Zhang Z, Chen W. Side population in oral squamous cell carcinoma possesses tumor stem cell phenotypes. *Cancer Lett* 2009;277:227–234.

17. Liu Y, Cui P, Chen J, Li W. Isolation and phenotypic characterization of side population cells in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Med Rep* 2015;11:3642–3646.
18. Yanamoto S, Kawasaki G, Yamada S, et al. Isolation and characterization of cancer stem-like side population cells in human oral cancer cells. *Oral Oncol* 2011;47:855–860.
19. Yajima T, Ochiai H, Uchiyama T, Takano N, Shibahara T, Azuma T. Resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy-induced apoptosis in side population cells of human oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line Ho-1-N-1. *Int J Oncol* 2009;35:273–280.
20. Song J, Chang I, Chen Z, Kang M, Wang CY. Characterization of side populations in HNSCC: highly invasive, chemoresistant and abnormal Wnt signaling. *PLoS One* 2010;5:e11456.
21. Yanamoto S, Yamada S, Takahashi H, et al. Expression of the cancer stem cell markers CD44v6 and ABCG2 in tongue cancer: effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on local recurrence. *Int J Oncol* 2014;44:1153–1162.
22. Kontos CK, Christodoulou MI, Scorilas A. Apoptosis-related BCL2-family members: Key players in chemotherapy. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 2014;14:353–374.
23. Lai D, Visser-Grieve S, Yang X. Tumour suppressor genes in chemotherapeutic drug response. *Biosci Rep* 2012;32:361–374.
24. Fiandalo MV, Kyprianou N. Caspase control: protagonists of cancer cell apoptosis. *Exp Oncol* 2012;34:165–175.
25. Camisasca DR, Honorato J, Bernardo V, et al. Expression of Bcl-2 family proteins and associated clinicopathologic factors predict survival outcome in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol* 2009;45:225–233.
26. Coutinho-Camillo CM, Lourenço SV, Nishimoto IN, Kowalski LP, Soares FA. Expression of Bcl-2 family proteins and association with clinicopathological characteristics of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Histopathology* 2010;57:304–316.
27. Zhang P, Zhang Z, Zhou X, Qiu W, Chen F, Chen W. Identification of genes associated with cisplatin resistance in human oral squamous cell carcinoma cell line. *BMC Cancer* 2006;6:224.
28. Noutomi T, Chiba H, Itoh M, Toyota H, Mizuguchi J. Bcl-x(L) confers multi-drug resistance in several squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. *Oral Oncol* 2002;38:41–48.
29. Itoh M, Noutomi T, Chiba H, Mizuguchi J. Bcl-xL antisense treatment sensitizes Bcl-xL-overexpressing squamous cell carcinoma cells to carboplatin. *Oral Oncol* 2002;38:752–756.
30. Wang H, Zhang Z, Wei X, Dai R. Small-molecule inhibitor of Bcl-2 (TW-37) suppresses growth and enhances cisplatin-induced apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells. *J Ovarian Res* 2015;8:3.
31. Lo Muzio L, Staibano S, Pannone G, et al. Expression of the apoptosis inhibitor survivin in aggressive squamous cell carcinoma. *Exp Mol Pathol* 2001;70:249–254.
32. Lo Muzio L, Pannone G, Leonardi R, et al. Survivin, a potential early predictor of tumor progression in the oral mucosa. *J Dent Res* 2003;82:923–928.
33. Lo Muzio L, Pannone G, Staibano S, et al. Survivin expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 2003;89:2244–2248.
34. Lo Muzio L, Campisi G, Giovannelli L, et al. HPV DNA and survivin expression in epithelial oral carcinogenesis: a relationship? *Oral Oncol* 2004;40:736–741.
35. Engels K, Knauer SK, Metzler D, et al. Dynamic intracellular survivin in oral squamous cell carcinoma: underlying molecular mechanism and potential as an early prognostic marker. *J Pathol* 2007;211:532–540.
36. Lippert BM, Knauer SK, Fetz V, Mann W, Stauber RH. Dynamic survivin in head and neck cancer: molecular mechanism and therapeutic potential. *Int J Cancer* 2007;121:1169–1174.
37. Xu JH, Huang HZ, Pan CB, Zhang B, Zhang LT. Role of survivin gene on the apoptosis of Tca8113 cells induced by cisplatin [In Chinese]. *Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2007;25:173–176.
38. Xu JH, Pan CB, Huang HZ, Zhang B, Wang JG, Zhang LT. Silencing of survivin gene enhances chemosensitivity of human tongue cancer cell line Tca8113 to cisplatin [In Chinese]. *Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2007;42:280–283.
39. Xu JH, Wang AX, Huang HZ, Wang JG, Pan CB, Zhang B. Survivin shRNA induces caspase-3-dependent apoptosis and enhances cisplatin sensitivity in squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue. *Oncol Res* 2010;18:377–385.
40. Xu J, Huang H, Pan C, Zhang B, Liu X, Zhang L. Nicotine inhibits apoptosis induced by cisplatin in human oral cancer cells. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;36:739–744.
41. Kumar B, Yadav A, Lang JC, et al. YM155 reverses cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer by decreasing cytoplasmic survivin levels. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2012;11:1988–1998.
42. Zhang L, Zhang W, Wang YF, et al. Dual induction of apoptotic and autophagic cell death by targeting survivin in head neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cell Death Dis* 2015;6:e1771.
43. Henriksson E, Baldetorp B, Borg A, et al. p53 mutation and cyclin D1 amplification correlate with cisplatin sensitivity in xenografted human squamous cell carcinomas from head and neck. *Acta Oncol* 2006;45:300–305.
44. Temam S, Flahault A, Périé S, et al. p53 gene status as a predictor of tumor response to induction chemotherapy of patients with locoregionally advanced squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:385–394.
45. Cabelguenne A, Blons H, de Waziers I, et al. p53 alterations predict tumor response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a prospective series. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:1465–1473.
46. Perrone F, Bossi P, Cortelazzi B, et al. TP53 mutations and pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant cisplatin and fluorouracil chemotherapy in resected oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:761–766.
47. Tonigold M, Rossmann A, Meinold M, et al. A cisplatin-resistant head and neck cancer cell line with cytoplasmic p53(mut) exhibits ATP-binding cassette transporter upregulation and high glutathione levels. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2014;140:1689–1704.
48. Tian H, Gao Z, Li H, et al. DNA damage response--a double-edged sword in cancer prevention and cancer therapy. *Cancer Lett* 2015;358:8–16.
49. Furuta T, Ueda T, Aune G, Sarasin A, Kraemer KH, Pommier Y. Transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair as a determinant of cisplatin sensitivity of human cells. *Cancer Res* 2002;62:4899–4902.
50. Li X, Li Y, Qiu LH, Tong X, Wang QM, Li T. Expression of excision repair cross-complementation gene in drug-resistant process of carboplatin administration in tongue squamous cell cancer (Tca8113) [In Chinese]. *Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2011;46:437–441.
51. Li W, Melton DW. Cisplatin regulates the MAPK kinase pathway to induce increased expression of DNA repair gene ERCC1 and increase melanoma chemoresistance. *Oncogene* 2012;31:2412–2422.
52. Li W, Jie Z, Li Z, et al. ERCC1 siRNA ameliorates drug resistance to cisplatin in gastric carcinoma cell lines. *Mol Med Rep* 2014;9:2423–2428.
53. Du P, Zhang X, Liu H, Chen L. Lentivirus-Mediated RNAi silencing targeting ERCC1 reverses cisplatin resistance in cisplatin-resistant ovarian carcinoma cell line. *DNA Cell Biol* 2015;34:497–502.
54. Gao Y, Liu D. The roles of excision repair cross-complementation group1 in objective response after cisplatin-based concurrent chemoradiotherapy and survival in head and neck cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oral Oncol* 2015;51:570–577.

55. Bišof V, Zajc Petranović M, Rakušić Z, Samardžić KR, Juretić A. The prognostic and predictive value of excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (ERCC1) protein in 1288 patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma treated with platinum-based therapy: a meta-analysis [Epub ahead of print]. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* doi:10.1007/s00405-015-3710-x
56. Ciaparrone M, Caspiani O, Bicciolo G, et al. Predictive Role of ERCC1 Expression in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Patients Treated with Surgery and Adjuvant Cisplatin-Based Chemoradiation. *Oncology* 2015;89:227–234.
57. Chiu TJ, Chen CH, Chien CY, Li SH, Tsai HT, Chen YJ. High ERCC1 expression predicts cisplatin-based chemotherapy resistance and poor outcome in unresectable squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck in a betel-chewing area. *J Transl Med* 2011;9:31.
58. De Castro G Jr, Pasini FS, Siqueira SA, et al. ERCC1 protein, mRNA expression and T19007C polymorphism as prognostic markers in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients treated with surgery and adjuvant cisplatin-based chemoradiation. *Oncol Rep* 2011;25:693–699.
59. Yang CH, Lin YD, Yen CY, Chuang LY, Chang HW. A systematic gene-gene and gene-environment interaction analysis of DNA repair genes XRCC1, XRCC2, XRCC3, XRCC4, and oral cancer risk. *OMICS* 2015;19:238–247.
60. Hsu DS, Lan HY, Huang CH, et al. Regulation of excision repair cross-complementation group 1 by Snail contributes to cisplatin resistance in head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2010;16:4561–4571.
61. Banerjee R, Russo N, Liu M, et al. TRIP13 promotes error-prone nonhomologous end joining and induces chemoresistance in head and neck cancer. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:4527.
62. Maseki S, Ijichi K, Tanaka H, et al. Acquisition of EMT phenotype in the gefitinib-resistant cells of a head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell line through Akt/GSK-3 β /snail signalling pathway. *Br J Cancer* 2012;106:1196–1204.
63. Harada K, Ferdous T, Ueyama Y. Establishment of 5-fluorouracil-resistant oral squamous cell carcinoma cell lines with epithelial to mesenchymal transition changes. *Int J Oncol* 2014;44:1302–1308.
64. Sun L, Yao Y, Liu B, et al. MiR-200b and miR-15b regulate chemotherapy-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human tongue cancer cells by targeting BMI1. *Oncogene* 2012;31:432–445.
65. Wang C, Liu X, Chen Z, et al. Polycomb group protein EZH2-mediated E-cadherin repression promotes metastasis of oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Carcinog* 2013;52:229–236
66. Wang C, Liu X, Huang H, et al. Deregulation of Snai2 is associated with metastasis and poor prognosis in tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 2012;130:2249–2258.
67. Dennis M, Wang G, Luo J, et al. Snail controls the mesenchymal phenotype and drives erlotinib resistance in oral epithelial and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2012;147:726–732.
68. Masui T, Ota I, Yook JI, et al. Snail-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition promotes cancer stem cell-like phenotype in head and neck cancer cells. *Int J Oncol* 2014;44:693–699.
69. Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* 2004;116:281–297.
70. Jin Y, Wang C, Liu X, et al. Molecular characterization of the microRNA-138-Fos-like antigen 1 (FOSL1) regulatory module in squamous cell carcinoma. *J Biol Chem* 2011;286:40104–40109.
71. Yu ZW, Zhong LP, Ji T, Zhang P, Chen WT, Zhang CP. MicroRNAs contribute to the chemoresistance of cisplatin in tongue squamous cell carcinoma lines. *Oral Oncol* 2010;46:317–322.
72. Peng F, Zhang H, Du Y, Tan P. miR-23a promotes cisplatin chemoresistance and protects against cisplatin-induced apoptosis in tongue squamous cell carcinoma cells through Twist. *Oncol Rep* 2015;33:942–950.
73. Dai Y, Xie CH, Neis JP, Fan CY, Vural E, Spring PM. MicroRNA expression profiles of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma with docetaxel-induced multidrug resistance. *Head Neck* 2011;33:786–791.
74. Liu M, Wang J, Huang H, Hou J, Zhang B, Wang A. miR-181a-Twist1 pathway in the chemoresistance of tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2013;441:364–370.
75. Chang CJ, Hsu CC, Chang CH, et al. Let-7d functions as novel regulator of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and chemoresistant property in oral cancer. *Oncol Rep* 2011;26:1003–1010.
76. Li J, Huang H, Sun L, et al. MiR-21 indicates poor prognosis in tongue squamous cell carcinomas as an apoptosis inhibitor. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009;15:3998–4008.
77. Wang W, Songlin P, Sun Y, Zhang B, Jinhui W. miR-21 inhibitor sensitizes human OSCC cells to cisplatin. *Mol Biol Rep* 2012;39:5481–5485.
78. Ren W, Wang X, Gao L, et al. MiR-21 modulates chemosensitivity of tongue squamous cell carcinoma cells to cisplatin by targeting PDCD4. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2014;390:253–262.
79. Bourguignon LY, Earle C, Wong G, Spevak CC, Krueger K. Stem cell marker (Nanog) and Stat-3 signaling promote MicroRNA-21 expression and chemoresistance in hyaluronan/CD44-activated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Oncogene* 2012;31:149–160.
80. Jiang F, Zhao W, Zhou L, Liu Z, Li W, Yu D. MiR-222 targeted PUMA to improve sensitization of UMI cells to cisplatin. *Int J Mol Sci* 2014;15:22128–22141.
81. Wang J, Huang H, Wang C, Liu X, Hu F, Liu M. MicroRNA-375 sensitizes tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α)-induced apoptosis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in vitro. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;42:949–955.
82. Lu L, Xue X, Lan J, et al. MicroRNA-29a upregulates MMP2 in oral squamous cell carcinoma to promote cancer invasion and anti-apoptosis. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2014;68:13–19.
83. Fan S, Chen WX, Lv XB, et al. miR-483-5p determines mitochondrial fission and cisplatin sensitivity in tongue squamous cell carcinoma by targeting FIS1. *Cancer Lett* 2015;362:183–191.
84. Fan S, Liu B, Sun L, et al. Mitochondrial fission determines cisplatin sensitivity in tongue squamous cell carcinoma through the BRCA1-miR-593-5p-MFF axis. *Oncotarget* 2015;6:14885–14904.
85. Yu CC, Chen YW, Chiou GY, et al. MicroRNA let-7a represses chemoresistance and tumorigenicity in head and neck cancer via stem-like properties ablation. *Oral Oncol* 2011;47:202–210.
86. Bourguignon LY, Wong G, Earle C, Chen L. Hyaluronan-CD44v3 interaction with Oct4-Sox2-Nanog promotes miR-302 expression leading to self-renewal, clonal formation, and cisplatin resistance in cancer stem cells from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J Biol Chem* 2012;287:32800–32824.
87. Wang Y, Wang C, Tang H, et al. Decrease of autophagy activity promotes malignant progression of tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2013;42:557–564.
88. Weng J, Wang C, Wang Y, et al. Beclin1 inhibits proliferation, migration and invasion in tongue squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. *Oral Oncol* 2014;50:983–990.
89. Tang JY, Fang YY, Hsi E, et al. Immunopositivity of Beclin-1 and ATG5 as indicators of survival and disease recurrence in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 2013;33:5611–5616.
90. Tang JY, Hsi E, Huang YC, et al. High LC3 expression correlates with poor survival in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Hum Pathol* 2013;44:2558–2562.
91. Tang JY, Hsi E, Huang YC, et al. ATG9A overexpression is associated with disease recurrence and poor survival in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Virchows Arch* 2013;463:737–742.

92. Quan HY, Quan HY, Zhou LJ, Li AD, Zhang ZB. Mechanism of chloroquine in promoting sensitivity of chemotherapeutics in oral squamous cell carcinoma CAL-27 cell line to cisplatin [In Chinese]. *Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue* 2015;24:30–36.
93. Zhao XG, Sun RJ, Yang XY, et al. Chloroquine-enhanced efficacy of cisplatin in the treatment of hypopharyngeal carcinoma in xenograft mice. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0126147.
94. Jiang L, Huang S, Zhang D, et al. Inhibition of autophagy augments chemotherapy in human salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2014;43:265–272.
95. Liu D, Yang Y, Liu Q, Wang J. Inhibition of autophagy by 3-MA potentiates cisplatin-induced apoptosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Med Oncol* 2011;28:105–111.
96. Carew JS, Kelly KR, Nawrocki ST. Autophagy as a target for cancer therapy: new developments. *Cancer Manag Res* 2012;4:357–365.
97. Notte A, Leclere L, Michiels C. Autophagy as a mediator of chemotherapy-induced cell death in cancer. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2011;82:427–434.
98. Tsai CW, Lai FJ, Sheu HM, et al. WWOX suppresses autophagy for inducing apoptosis in methotrexate-treated human squamous cell carcinoma. *Cell Death Dis* 2013;4:e792.
99. Kang R, Wang ZH, Wang BQ, et al. Inhibition of autophagy-potentiated chemosensitivity to cisplatin in laryngeal cancer Hep-2 cells. *Am J Otolaryngol* 2012;33:678–684.
100. Han HY, Kim H, Jeong SH, Lim DS, Ryu MH. Sulfasalazine induces autophagic cell death in oral cancer cells via Akt and ERK pathways. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2014;15:6939–6944.
101. Chu SC, Hsieh YS, Yu CC, Lai YY, Chen PN. Thymoquinone induces cell death in human squamous carcinoma cells via caspase activation-dependent apoptosis and LC3-II activation-dependent autophagy. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e101579.
102. Huang AC, Lien JC, Lin MW, et al. Tetrandrine induces cell death in SAS human oral cancer cells through caspase activation-dependent apoptosis and LC3-I and LC3-II activation-dependent autophagy. *Int J Oncol* 2013;43:485–494.