Effects of Different Polishing Protocols and Curing Time on Surface Properties of a Bulk-fill Composite Resin
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Objective: To determine the effects of different polishing protocols and curing times on the surface roughness (SR), surface gloss (SG) and surface hardness (SH) of a bulk-fill composite resin (BCR).

Methods: A total of 30 block-shaped specimens (40 mm long × 10 mm wide × 2 mm thick) were made from Filtek Bulk-Fill composite resin and divided into two groups (n = 15) according to curing time (10 and 40 seconds). Each group was subdivided into five groups (n = 3) according to the polishing protocol: laboratory polishing with different silicon paper grits (G1: 1200) → (G2: 2400) → (G3: 4000). Chairside polishing was performed using a series of Sof-Lex spiral (G4) and Jiffy Polisher (G5) points. The SR was measured by a surface profilometer. A Vickers indenter was used to test the SH, and a glossmeter was used to determine the SG at 60 degrees. The SR, SG and SH were quantified before and after polishing. A scanning electron microscopy (SEM) evaluation was then performed.

Results: The curing time did not affect the surface properties of the BCR (P > 0.05). Significant differences in SR (ranging from 0.1 to 2 µm) and SG (ranging from 20 to 90 GU [gloss unit]) were found according to the type of polishing protocol (P < 0.05). The SH values following different polishing protocols were significantly higher (ranging from 82 to 95 VH [Vickers hardness]) than the polishing values obtained before the polishing protocols (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: The tested chairside polishing protocols presented lower SG and higher SR values than the laboratory polishing protocols.
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Aesthetic concepts have been particularly important in driving the development of dental composite resins in the last few years. A glossy and perfectly smooth surface is a requirement for a desirable aesthetic appearance¹. Such a surface also needs to remain smooth for a long period within the oral environment. The smooth surface, apart from enhancing the aesthetic result, prevents the formation of discolouring films and plaque retention due to the absence of micro-roughness¹. Moreover, surface hardness and smoothness decrease the coefficient of friction and subsequently this may reduce the wear rate², which compromises the clinical performance of the composite restorations. Surface quality also affects the fracture resistance in brittle materials such as composite resins³. The quality of a polished composite resin
surface is related to intrinsic material properties and to the finishing/polishing procedure applied. 

It has been thought that incremental filling techniques using conventional composite resins are time consuming and therefore new types of composite resins have been launched; these are called bulk-fill composite resins (BCRs). Unlike conventional composite resins, which are typically placed in maximum increments of 2 mm, BCRs are allowed to be placed in increments of 4 mm or thicker. There are now several BCRs available, some being flowable (low viscosity) and some highly viscous. The latter, high viscous BCRs, do not require an additional surface layer of conventional composite resin, and may be used as a single-step bulk-filling material. However, independent in vitro and in vivo studies are limited, especially on the aesthetic appearance of high viscous BCRs in terms of their surface smoothness, hardness and gloss.

It is well known that the mechanical properties, and thus the clinical performance, of these BCRs are influenced by the degree of monomer conversion. From this point of view, the increase in light cure exposure time is one of the several clinical approaches that have been investigated to prolong the clinical lifetime of the bulk-fill composite restorations. It has been suggested that surface properties can be determined both by the intrinsic characteristics of the composite resin and by the finishing and polishing procedures. Thus, a successful composite restoration requires care not only in the restorative material selection, with ideal aesthetics and mechanical strength characteristics, but also in the choice of the finishing and polishing protocol. Several finishing and polishing protocols are available on the market, including diamond burs, rubber cups, discs and abrasive pastes. Some studies have indicated that aluminium oxide discs produce smoother surfaces compared with diamond burs, tungsten carbide drills and rubber cups associated with polishing pastes. However, Hoelscher et al. concluded that the use of finishing tips, followed by polishing pastes, does not provide the same surface smoothness as aluminium oxide. A limited number of studies have so far evaluated the effect of exposure time and polishing protocols on the surface properties of the new high viscous BCRs.

Therefore, the aim of the present in vitro study was to determine the effects of different polishing protocols and curing time on the surface roughness (SR), surface gloss (SG) and surface hardness (SH) of a high viscous BCR. The null hypothesis tested was that different polishing protocols and curing times do not have any effect on the surface properties (SR, SG, SH) of BCRs.

Materials and methods

Thirty block-shaped specimens (40 mm long × 10 mm wide × 2 mm thick) of BCR (Filtek Bulk-Fill, A2 Shade, 3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA) were made in half-split moulds between transparent Mylar sheets. A thin glass plate was placed on the free surface of the composite resin to remove the material excess. Specimens were divided into two groups (n = 15) according to the curing time used. Polymerisation of the BCR was performed using a hand light-curing unit (Elipar S10, 3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany) for either 10 or 40 seconds, in five separate overlapping portions, from one side of the mould. The wavelength of the light was between 430 and 480 nm and the light intensity was 1600 mW/cm².

The specimens were then subdivided into five groups (n = 3) according to the polishing protocols. Laboratory polishing was performed using different silicon paper grit sizes (G1: 1200) → (G2: 2400) → (G3: 4000) at 300 rpm while water cooled using an automatic polishing machine (Struers RotoPol-11, Copenhagen, Denmark) for 3 minutes. The chairside polishing was performed using a series of Sof-Lex spiral (beige and pink, G4) (3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA) and Jiffy Polisher points (yellow, G5) (Ultradent Products, South Jordan, USA) with a low-speed hand piece (20 rpm) and water cooling (9 minutes). One side of the specimen surface facing the mould was polished and this side was named ‘polished side’. The other side of the specimen facing the glass slide and the Mylar strip remained unpolished and was named ‘unpolished side’. After polishing, the specimens were rinsed with water and stored dry at room temperature before testing.

In total, there were 10 groups (n = 3) involving three different laboratory polishing paper grits, and two chairside polishing protocols with two curing times. The surface properties were assessed for the polished and unpolished sides.

The SR of each group was measured using a surface profilometer (Mitutoyo surftest 301, Mitutoyo Corporation, Kanagawa, Japan), with a standard cutoff of 0.8 mm, a transverse length of 0.8 mm and a stylus speed of 0.1 mm/s. The roughness average (Ra) of a specimen was defined as the arithmetic average height of roughness component irregularities from the mean line measured within the sampling length. Five profilometer tracings were made for each specimen and the numerical average was determined for each group.

The SG was measured at a 60-degree incidence angle using a calibrated infrared Zehntner-Glossmeter (Zehntner Testing Instruments, Sissach, Switzerland)
with a square measurement area of 6 mm × 40 mm. The average of five measurements was recorded per surface.

The surface hardness (SH) of each group was measured using a Duramin hardness microscope (Struers) with an objective lens x40 and a load of 1.96 N applied for 15 seconds. Each specimen’s surface was subjected to five indentations. The diagonal length impressions were measured, and the Vickers values were converted into microhardness values by the machine. The microhardness was obtained using the following equation:

\[ H = \frac{1854.4 \times P}{d^2} \]

where H represents the Vickers hardness in kg/mm², P is the load in grams and d is the length of the diagonals in μm.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (JSM-5500, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) was used to observe and capture images of the polished surfaces. Specimens (n – 2) from each of the polishing protocols were stored in a desiccator for 1 day. Subsequently, they were coated with a gold layer using a sputter coater in a vacuum evaporator (BAL-TEC SCD 050, Sputter Coater, Balzers, Liechtenstein) before the SEM examination. SEM observations were carried out at an operating voltage of 8 to 15 kV.

The data were analysed using SPSS version 23 (SPSS, IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with \( P < 0.05 \) significance level, followed by a Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test to determine the differences between the groups.

**Results**

The SR, SG and SH values of the tested high viscous BCR after various polishing protocols and curing times are shown in Table 1 and in Figures 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The ANOVA results revealed that curing time had no significant effect (\( P = 0.112 \)) on the tested surface properties of the BCR. Significant differences in SR (ranging from 0.1 to 2 μm) and SG (ranging from 20 to 90 GU) were found according to the type of polishing protocol (\( P < 0.05 \)). The specimens polished with the 4000 grit paper showed the lowest SR (0.1 μm) and the highest SG (93 GU) values among all the groups tested.

Regarding the analysis of the simple linear regression model between SR and SG, and as theoretically expected, these two variables demonstrated a linear correlation (\( R^2 = 0.983 \)). As seen in Figures 1 and 2, the highest SR (2.7 μm) and lowest SG (17 GU) values were observed for specimens polished by Jiffy Polisher points. The chairside polishing protocols used in the present study increased the SR and decreased the SG.
polishing with the 1200 grit paper (Fig 4a). However, after polishing with the 2400 and 4000 grit papers, the scratches disappeared and the surfaces became uniform and smooth (Fig 4b and c). Wide scratches and a large number of small pits resulting from filler particle exfoliation were observed after using chairside polishing protocols (Figs 4d and e).

**Discussion**

High viscous BCRs have been subjected to a number of studies aimed at evaluating their laboratory and clinical applications because they are recent materials used in dentistry. One of the challenges presented by these BCRs is the quality of the polished surface, which is questionable since they do not require an additional surface layer of conventional composite resin and should be used as single-step bulk-filling materials. Considering that polishing is an important factor potentially influencing the clinical performance of composite restorations, our null hypothesis was that different polishing protocols and curing times do not have any effect on the surface properties of high viscous BCRs. The evaluated material (Filtek Bulk-Fill) showed variable changes in SR, SG and SH as a result of different polishing protocols. For the first parameter, the null hypothesis was rejected. The level of SR and SG depended on the type of polishing protocol used. On the other hand, different curing times (10 or 40 seconds) with high curing irradiance (1600 mW/cm²) had no significant effect on the tested surface properties. This is in accordance with a previous study, which found that high curing irradiance had no positive influence on the surface quality of the Filtek Bulk-Fill composite. Researchers and clinicians have reported that increasing the curing time can result in optimal clinical durability of composite resins. However, manufacturers claim that curing times have been measured under ideal laboratory conditions, which may not necessarily represent clinical situations.

The SR of restorations may lead to plaque accumulation, surface discolouration and poor aesthetics, and this is directly related to the restorative materials and the polishing protocols used. The finishing procedures for restorations are mostly essential for contouring and removing the excess material, although they lead to increased surface roughness, which eventually requires restoration polishing. As recommended by Roeder et al, in this study we measured the surface properties of BCR before and after polishing to homogenise the specimens. It was observed that the average SR of the BCR against the Mylar sheet...
GU was identified as a typically desirable gloss.

Consistent with our study, Pala et al\textsuperscript{30} reported that multi-step systems (Sof-Lex spiral) produced a higher gloss, while the one-step system produced the lowest gloss. According to the American Dental Association’s professional product review, 40 to 60 GU was identified as a typically desirable gloss based on observations from an expert panelist\textsuperscript{10}. Cook and Thomas\textsuperscript{37} reported that an improper polishing of restorations is generally considered to be below 60 GU, with an acceptable polishing being between 60 and 70 GU. According to this evaluation, only the laboratory polishing protocol up to a 2400 and 4000 grit size and the Sof-Lex spiral used in the present study exhibited successful gloss results (Fig 2).

Consistent with our results, Heintze et al\textsuperscript{36} and Watanabe et al\textsuperscript{38} revealed a correlation between SG and SR. The lower the SR, the higher the SG. Heintze et al\textsuperscript{36} reported that the SG improved consistently during the polishing procedures. However, researchers also reported that the improvement of SR was not similar to the improvement of SG, and differed between type of materials\textsuperscript{30,39}. In general, it has been stated that when the SR increases, the gloss decreases\textsuperscript{1}.

The SH has been used to predict the wear resistance of a material and its ability to abrade or be abraded by opposing dental structures or materials\textsuperscript{2}. According to the SH results of our study, the level of SH seems to be related to the content of the composite resin and not to the polishing protocols. Contrary to the SR and SG results, the unpolished surfaces exhibited significantly lower SH values than the polished surfaces, regardless of the polishing protocol used (Fig 3). This observation may be due to the presence of an oxygen-inhibited gel-like layer on the surface of the composite material, as reported in previous studies\textsuperscript{27,40}. This layer, of unreacted monomers, has a decreased degree of conversion and would thus be soft.

It is clinically significant to determine the performance of the restoratives as a consequence of polishing procedures and curing time because these phenomena could affect the mechanical and physical properties of materials and the longevity of restorations.

The limitation of this study was that specimen preparation was performed by two investigators, which might have had an effect on the pressure exerted during the polishing procedures although the polishing time was controlled. A negative control group of BCRs, whose roughness could have been provided using a diamond finishing bur on the surface, is missing, but will be evaluated in the near future. Additional studies are also needed to evaluate the long-term surface characteristics of high viscous BCRs.
Conclusion

According to the research methodology used, both the SR and SG of the evaluated BCRs were influenced by the polishing protocol used. The smoothest and most glossy surfaces were obtained with the laboratory polishing protocol (4000 grit). The SH seems not to be related to the polishing protocols. A light curing time had no positive influence on the surface characteristics evaluated.
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